

# November 3, 2015 General Election Amended Certification Data


## AMENDED RESULTS

The amended results reflect the addition of three (3) complete ballots in the Provisional Category, determined to be valid by a majority Board vote, and the rectification of the Cleveland 08-E Election Day Category ballot total. Neither the votes contained on the additional Provisional ballots nor those on the Election Day ballots removed changed the outcome of any race. A detailed comparison of the Official Certification Results vs. the Amended Certification Results is included.

## SUMMARY OF AMENDED CANVASS

There were a total of 323,635 ballots tabulated for the November 3, 2015 General Election. Below is a breakdown of the ballots counted by category and a percentage of the total vote by category.

Category	Ballots Cast	% of Total Ballots Counted
<i>Election Day</i>	232,405	71.81%
<i>Absentee</i>	83,281	25.73%
<i>Provisional</i>	6,539	2.02%
<i>Post Election Day</i>	143	0.04%
<i>Post Absentee</i>	1,267	0.39%
<b>Total</b>	<b>323,635</b>	



## ABSENTEE / VOTE BY MAIL


The Cuyahoga County Board of Elections received a total of 95,560 valid Absentee/Vote by Mail applications. Of those requests, 86,472 ballots were returned (90.49%). The Ballot Department tabulated 84,548 Absentee/Vote by Mail Ballots of the applications processed. There were 1,739 ballots challenged in this election resulting in a challenge rate of 2.01%\*. Details of the challenges are below.

\*The Absentee Ballot Challenge Rate is 0.24% when the Absentee Ballots postmarked after the deadline are excluded.

Absentee Challenge Category	Number of Challenged Ballots	% of Total Challenged Absentee
Returned Too Late	1,532	88.10%
ID Envelope Not Returned	74	4.26%
No Signature on ID Envelope	66	3.80%
No Date of Birth	17	0.98%
No Ballot Returned with ID Envelope	16	0.92%
Registration Address does not match Address on ID Envelope	12	0.69%
No Identification	5	0.29%
Canceled/Inactive	5	0.29%
ID Envelope Not Completed	5	0.29%
No Stub or Stub Removed	3	0.17%
Wrong Voter Completed Envelope	2	0.12%
Invalid Signature	1	0.06%
ID does not match Registration Database	1	0.06%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,739</b>	

November 3, 2015	
<b>Total Ballots Counted</b>	323,635
<b>Total Absentee Ballots Requested</b>	95,560
<b>Total Absentee Ballots Returned</b>	86,472
<b>Total Absentee Ballots Counted</b>	84,733
<b>Total Absentee Ballots Challenged</b>	2,118
<b>Total Absentee Challenges Correctable by 11-S</b>	562
<b>Total Absentee Challenges Corrected by 11-S</b>	379
<b>Remaining Challenges That Could Have Been Corrected by 11-S But Were Not</b>	183
<b>Absentee Ballots Returned Late</b>	1,532
<b>Total Absentee Challenges NOT Correctable by 11-S (including late)</b>	1,556
<b>Total Absentee Challenges Remaining</b>	1,739
<b>% Absentee Ballots Counted of All Ballots Counted</b>	26.18%
<b>% Returned of Absentee Ballots Requested</b>	90.49%
<b>% Absentee Ballots Counted of Absentee Ballots Returned</b>	97.99%
<b>% Remaining Challenges of Absentee Ballots Returned</b>	2.01%
<b>% Remaining Challenges (Excluding Late) of Absentee Ballots Returned</b>	0.24%
<b>% Corrected Challenges of All Challenges</b>	17.89%
<b>% Remaining Challenges That Could Have Been Corrected by 11-S But Were Not</b>	32.56%
<b>% Challenges Corrected by 11-S (Excluding Late)</b>	64.68%
<b>% Late of Absentee Ballots Returned</b>	1.77%
<b>% Late of All Remaining Challenges</b>	88.10%

## Absentee Challenges



## PROVISIONAL VOTING SUMMARY

A total of 7,809 Provisional Ballots were cast In-House and on Election Day. Of those ballots, 6,540\* (83.75%) were valid and tabulated by the Ballot Department. There were 1,269 invalid Provisional Ballots resulting in a 16.25%\*\* rejection rate for this election. Details of the rejections are below.

\* Of the 6,540 valid ballots, 1 multi-page ballot was returned without its first page. The pages that were returned were tabulated, however only first pages are recorded numerically in the tabulating system, resulting in a Provisional Scan of 6,539 ballots recorded.


\*\* The Provisional Ballot Rejection Rate is 6.82% when Provisional Voters who have already cast an Absentee Ballot and those who were not registered to vote are excluded.

	November 3, 2015
<b>Provisional Ballots - CAST</b>	7,809
<b>Provisional Ballots - VALID</b>	6,540
<b>Provisional Ballots - REJECTED</b>	1269
<b>Rejected - Absentee Voters</b>	20
<b>Rejected - Not Including Absentee Voters</b>	1249
<b>Rejected - Not Registered</b>	770
<b>Rejected - Not Including Not Registered</b>	499
<b>Rejected - Not Including Not Registered or Absentee Voters</b>	479
<b>Rejected - Wrong Precinct Wrong Location***</b>	302
<b>% Valid of All Provisional Ballots Cast</b>	83.75%
<b>% Rejected of All Provisional Ballots Cast</b>	16.25%
<b>% Rejected Not Including Absentee Voters</b>	16.04%
<b>% Rejected Not Including Not Registered or Absentee Voters</b>	6.82%
<b>% Wrong Precinct Wrong Location of All Provisional Ballots Cast</b>	3.87%
<b>Correct Location Remakes</b>	197

\*\*\* Of those 302 Wrong Precinct Wrong Location ballots, 12 had accompanying 12-D Forms. Of those 12 Forms, 10 were correctly completed by Poll Workers.

<b>Reason for Rejection</b>	<b># Rejected</b>	<b>% of Total Provisional Rejections</b>
<i>Not Registered</i>	<b>770</b>	60.68%
<i>Wrong Precinct Wrong Location</i>	<b>302</b>	23.80%
<i>Missing or Incomplete Address</i>	<b>32</b>	2.52%
<i>Non Matching ID</i>	<b>31</b>	2.44%
<i>Non Matching Signature</i>	<b>22</b>	1.73%
<i>Missing Acceptable Identification</i>	<b>19</b>	1.50%
<i>No Signature</i>	<b>18</b>	1.42%
<i>Voted Absentee</i>	<b>15</b>	1.18%
<i>Incorrect Address</i>	<b>15</b>	1.18%
<i>Empty Envelope</i>	<b>14</b>	1.10%
<i>Missing Date of Birth</i>	<b>14</b>	1.10%
<i>Voted in Incorrect County</i>	<b>11</b>	0.87%
<i>Voted Absentee was Challenged</i>	<b>5</b>	0.39%
<i>No Printed Name</i>	<b>1</b>	0.08%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,269</b>	

## Rejected Provisionals



Official Certification vs. Amended Certification  
November 3, 2015 General Election

	Official Certification		Amended Certification		Change
<b>BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL</b>	<b>323,799</b>		<b>323,635</b>		<b>164</b>
<b>CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/1/2016</b>					
James H. Hewitt III . . . . .	14,065	27.09 %	14,032	27.09 %	33
Anthony Jordan. . . . .	9,149	17.62 %	9,121	17.61 %	28
Suzan Marie Sweeney . . . . .	24,564	47.3 %	24,496	47.3 %	68
Edwin J. Vargas . . . . .	4,149	7.99 %	4,141	8 %	8
Over Votes . . . . .	111		111		0
Under Votes . . . . .	12,772		12,745		27
<b>CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/2/2016</b>					
Lauren C. Moore . . . . .	34,677	100 %	34,591	100 %	86
Over Votes . . . . .	0		0		0
Under Votes . . . . .	30,133		30,055		78
<b>CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/3/2016</b>					
Pauline H. Tarver. . . . .	23,572	47.1 %	23,514	47.12 %	58
Ed Wade . . . . .	26,473	52.9 %	26,390	52.88 %	83
Over Votes . . . . .	341		340		1
Under Votes . . . . .	14,424		14,402		22
<b>CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/4/2016</b>					
Emanuella Groves . . . . .	33,303	100 %	33,224	100 %	79
Over Votes . . . . .	0		0		0
Under Votes . . . . .	31,507		31,422		85
<b>1 - Proposed Constitutional Amendment</b>					
Yes . . . . .	220,753	77.71 %	220,655	77.72 %	98
No. . . . .	63,312	22.29 %	63,269	22.28 %	43
Over Votes . . . . .	92		92		0
Under Votes . . . . .	39,642		39,619		23
<b>2 - Proposed Constitutional Amendment</b>					
Yes . . . . .	160,513	51.27 %	160,456	51.27 %	57
No. . . . .	152,581	48.73 %	152,477	48.73 %	104
Over Votes . . . . .	465		465		0
Under Votes . . . . .	10,240		10,237		3
<b>3 - Proposed Constitutional Amendment</b>					
Yes . . . . .	127,665	39.95 %	127,574	39.94 %	91
No. . . . .	191,915	60.05 %	191,843	60.06 %	72
Over Votes . . . . .	198		198		0
Under Votes . . . . .	4,058		4,057		1



Official Certification vs. Amended Certification  
November 3, 2015 General Election

	Official Certification		Amended Certification		Change
<b>8 - Cuyahoga County Excise Tax on Cigarettes</b>					
Yes . . . . .	234,093	75.21 %	233,993	75.22 %	100
No. . . . .	77,158	24.79 %	77,101	24.78 %	57
Over Votes . . . . .	111		111		0
Under Votes . . . . .	12,474		12,467		7
<b>9 - Cuyahoga County Proposed Charter Amendment</b>					
Yes . . . . .	202,989	74.75 %	202,893	74.75 %	96
No. . . . .	68,584	25.25 %	68,537	25.25 %	47
Over Votes . . . . .	61		61		0
Under Votes . . . . .	52,202		52,181		21
<b>20 - Cleveland Proposed Charter Amendment</b>					
Yes . . . . .	34,180	63.85 %	34,096	63.85 %	84
No. . . . .	19,355	36.15 %	19,303	36.15 %	52
Over Votes . . . . .	22		22		0
Under Votes . . . . .	10,618		10,590		28